

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,809	09/16/2003	Seiji Nagai	T36-159069M/KOH	1114
21254	7590 12/15/2006		EXAMINER	
MCGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC			RAO, G NAGESH	
8321 OLD C	COURTHOUSE ROAD			
SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
VIENNA, V	/A 22182-3817	1722		
•			DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/662,809	NAGAI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	G. Nagesh Rao	1722			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated the application to become ABANDONE	N. tely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 No.	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 November 2006.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	· <del></del>				
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3,4,6-15,17 and 18 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3,4,6-15,17 and 18 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the confidence of the confidence o	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
	ammer. Note the attached Office	Action of form F10-132.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical form the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical form the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical formation and the certified copies of the certified copies of the priorical formation and the certified copies of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P	ite			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Priority**

1) Should applicant desire to obtain the benefit of foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) prior to declaration of an interference, a certified English translation of the foreign application must be submitted in reply to this action. 37 CFR 41.154(b) and 41.202(e).

Failure to provide a certified translation may result in no benefit being accorded for the non-English application.

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.1 14

2) A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1 .1 14, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1 .17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1 .1 14, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1 .17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/20/06 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/662,809

Art Unit: 1722

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3) Claims 1, 3-4, 6-15, and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tischler (US Pg Pub 2002/0028314).

Examiner has reviewed applicant's arguments and after consideration is withdrawing the 112 rejections and objections since the claims have either been amended or clarified in the arguments to fully comply with examiner's requests.

Tischler 314 pertains to a process for producing Gallium-Nitride (GaN) semiconductor substrates via a variety of various methods in examples disclosed in the specification furthermore note that Tischler 314 refers to the GaN layer as a

Art Unit: 1722

metal nitride (M\*N) however that is understood by the examiner to be a synonym for a Group III-V nitride material (See Sections 0002-0013). Tischler 314 in specifica embodiments teaches a sacrificial silicon substrate having been etched away via HCl while the substrate/M\*N structure is preferably maintained. Tischler 314 also teaches that introduction of HCl is passed over the Gallium to transport it into the reactor in the form of "Gallium Chloride" which applicant attributed in the remarks as being the Halide Agent to utilize in the reactor (See Sections 0014-0019 and 0050-0051).

The M\*N layer for example GaN may be deposited directly on the surface of the crystalline or non-crystalline substrate, or alternatively it may be deposited on an upper most surface of one or more intermediate layers which in turn are deposited on the crystalline substrate. The one or more intermediate layers may serve as a buffer layer to enhance the crystallinity of the M\*N layer, as a template for the subsequent M\*N growth thereon, or the intermediate layer(s) may serve as protective layer(s) or as an etch stop to prevent the etchant for the sacrificial substrate from etching into the M\*N material (See Section 0020-0021) but thereby denoting that the etch could occur from the rear portion of the sacrificial substrate since the intermediate buffer layers are followed by the substrate before the top layer of M\*N is completely processed. As well the M\*N layer could contain more

aluminum in the Group III nitride compound in the event the layer is decidedly an AlN layer or a AlGaN or AlGaInN layer (See Sections 0092-0093).

The growth of the M\*N layer material may be carried out in a HVPE reactor whereby although denoted as a hydride vapor phase epitaxy reactor, examiner qualifies this as an equivalent and capable of handling applicant's claim of a halide vapor phase epitaxy. Upon reviewing applicant's specification, examiner noted the reference of HVPE as being a halide based reactor due to the use of HCl and GaCl (applicant's specification and remarks) as either the gaseous etchant (HCl) or source material for growing the nitride semiconductor film (GaCl). Although Tischler 314 denotes HVPE differently, it does disclose the use of HCl and GaCl as either the gaseous etchant or source material (GaCl see Section 0051) for creating the free standing M\*N semiconductor substrate.

Furthermore Tischler 314 teaches processing parameters for the HVPE method have temperatures growth for a GaN layer be between 1000-1200°C and the desired thickness range be between 1-1000 microns but preferably at 100-300 microns thus reading on claimed thickness and temperature variations as claimed by applicant (See Section 0050 and 0025) as well the ability to grow more than one layer of M\*N materials as suggested by the language of section 0051. However as noted in that preferred embodiment the process begins with a "...growth

Art Unit: 1722

temperature (in the range of 800-1300°C) and introducing the growth precursors for GaN formation...", therefore covering said 800-900°C range claimed by applicant.

Finally Tischler 314 teaches an ability to prevent lattice mismatch dislocation in particular preventing dislocations i.e. warping of the M\*N substrate material which is in turn a form of a Group III nitride compound (See Section 0040). This and the fact that the methodology of creating said substrate will have the rear surface of said silicon substrate opposing the surface on which said group III nitride compound semiconductor layer is formed. Also Examiner invites applicant's to review Sections 0064-0094) for further details and information on various and alternative embodiments disclosed in the reference that teach processing parameters related to the growth and production of this Group III nitride semiconductor compound.

Examiner has denoted from applicant's remarks and currently claimed invention that Tischler 314 does not outright explicitly teach the first layer of the metal-nitride semiconductor being processed at a temperature of 800-900°C and the second layer of metal-nitride material (i.e. Group III in particular) manufactured at a temperature of not lower than 1000°C.

Art Unit: 1722

However Tischler 314 does teach that the compound semiconductor is manufactured in the range of 800-1300°C denoting that it would be conceivable to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention via routine experimentation to produce the structure at a variety of temperature levels and ranges depending on the state of the layer manufacture for the compound semiconductor device. There is nothing precluding in this reference or applicant's remarks that would suggest one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would not understand or conceive of the process as claimed by applicant. The teachings are there and obvious to modify to meet the parameters claimed by the applicant.

## Response to Arguments

4) Applicant's arguments filed 10/10/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Examiner notes the following.

Examiner has noted the amended changes but upon further review of the reference Tischler 314 still teaches or obviates the prescribed elements, ranges, and process claimed by applicant. The narrowed temperature range as processing parameters claimed by applicant still falls within scope of what is taught by Tischler 314 as noted in the rejection above. Applicant is invited to contact

Application/Control Number: 10/662,809 Page 8

Art Unit: 1722

examiner to discuss ideas that might help put the case in condition for allowance and overcoming the new 103 rejection utilizing Tischler 134.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to G. Nagesh Rao whose telephone number is (571) 272-2946. The examiner can normally be reached on 9AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (571)272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,809

Art Unit: 1722

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**GNR** 

ROBERT DAVIS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1300- 1700

Page 9

12/11/06